

COMMISSION FOR LAWYER DISCIPLINE

REPORT

TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  
ON  
ATTORNEY DISCIPLINE

September 2022

**Disciplinary Sanctions**  
**6/1/2022 - 8/31/2022**

DISBARMENTS	District	# of Complaints Resolved
Allred, Blake Daniel	5	11
Allred, Blake Daniel	4	11
Fair, Jean Marie Blair	16	4
Hoff, Jessica Lee	4	1
Walzel, Michael Ray	3	1

**Board of Disciplinary Appeals:**

Mousseau, Geoffrey C.	BODA	1
Total:	6	29

RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	District	# of Complaints Resolved
Pettit, Christopher J.	10	5
Price, Jeffery Richard	2	1

**Board of Disciplinary Appeals:**

Hart, William S.	BODA	2
Jarvis, Eric Samuel	BODA	1
Sherman, Jeffrey M.	BODA	1
White, John Bennett	BODA	1
Total:	6	11

SUSPENSIONS	District	# of Complaints Resolved
Carrigan, Stephen Paul	11	1
Fuerst, Michael T.	4	1
Gauthier, Avia Rice	6	1
Grimsinger, William O. Jr.	4	2
Hem, Peov Linda	6	1
Hernandez, Marie Gerard Gaetane	10	2
Kai Kai, Andrew Jaiah	1	1
McElroy, Summer Aja	4	2
Price, William Earl	6	1
Tysinger, Alan Dean	10	1
Wiltz, Jervan Steven	6	1
Wynn, William Reagan	7	1
Wynn, William Reagan	7	1

**Board of Disciplinary Appeals:**

Hickman, Darrell Keith	BODA	1
Quinata, Derek Alfonso	BODA	1
Smith, Robert Ray	BODA	1
Total:		16
		19

PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	District	# of Complaints Resolved
Adams, Carl David	6	1
Brown, Judy Lou	4	1
Chavez, Adrian Antonio	15	1
Chu, Nathan Henry	12	1
Graham, James Glenn	7	1
Jacoby, Jason	14	1
Kai Kai, Andrew Jaiah	1	1
Total:		7

PRIVATE REPRIMANDS		
Grievance Comm.	# of Sanctions	# of Complaints Resolved
2	1	1
4	1	1
5	1	1
6	3	3
7	1	1
9	1	1
11	1	1
12	1	1
14	2	2
17	2	2

Total:		14
		14
Grievance Referral Program		9
Grand Total:		58
		89

### Disciplinary Actions - Current Bar Year

BAR YEARS 2022-2023	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	6	29
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	6	11
SUSPENSIONS	16	19
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	7	7
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	14	14
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	9	9
Total:	58	89

\*does not reflect year-end figures/summary data includes ytd

### Disciplinary Actions - Previous Bar Year

BAR YEARS 2021-2022	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	22	57
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	27	102
SUSPENSIONS	123	168
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	39	41
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	94	97
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	99	99
Total:	404	564

BAR YEARS 2020-2021	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	18	64
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	15	33
SUSPENSIONS	125	159
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	36	42
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	100	102
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	79	79
Total:	373	479

BAR YEARS 2019-2020	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	20	29
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	9	35
SUSPENSIONS	141	190

PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	39	41
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	106	115
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	87	89
Total:	402	499

BAR YEARS 2018-2019	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	14	40
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	17	88
SUSPENSIONS	155	212
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	32	39
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	124	138
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	75	82
Total:	417	599

BAR YEARS 2017-2018	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	21	49
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	23	103
SUSPENSIONS	113	160
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	25	29
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	70	74
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	79	80
Total:	331	495

BAR YEARS 2016-2017	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	21	63
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	28	124
SUSPENSIONS	126	182
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	30	37
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	90	98
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	50	50
Total:	345	554

BAR YEARS 2015-2016	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
------------------------	-----------------	------------------------------

DISBARMENTS	22	61
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	27	147
SUSPENSIONS	132	205
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	30	33
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	67	72
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	47	47
Total:	325	565

BAR YEARS 2014-2015	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	27	56
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	19	56
SUSPENSIONS	115	151
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	32	36
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	66	72
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	63	71
Total:	322	442

BAR YEARS 2013-2014	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	22	41
RESIGNATIONS IN LIEU OF DISCIPLINE	17	59
SUSPENSIONS	130	169
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	31	35
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	63	70
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	58	58
Total:	321	432

BAR YEARS 2012-2013	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	39	51
RESIGNATIONS	24	46
SUSPENSIONS	122	160
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	37	40
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	89	91
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	56	56
Total:	367	444

BAR YEARS 2011-2012	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	38	45
RESIGNATIONS	27	87
SUSPENSIONS	137	174
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	40	41
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	106	115
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	54	54
Total:	402	516

BAR YEARS 2010-2011	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	28	52
RESIGNATIONS	23	101
SUSPENSIONS	157	254
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	40	50
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	77	82
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	46	46
Total:	371	584

BAR YEARS 2009-2010	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	25	33
RESIGNATIONS	22	40
SUSPENSIONS	111	169
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	37	47
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	81	89
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	39	39
Total:	315	417

BAR YEARS 2008-2009	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
DISBARMENTS	32	43
RESIGNATIONS	26	104
SUSPENSIONS	127	189
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	46	54
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	68	73
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	36	36
Total:	335	499

BAR YEARS 2007-2008	Total Sanctions	Total Complaints Resolved
------------------------	-----------------	------------------------------

DISBARMENTS	24	63
RESIGNATIONS	24	90
SUSPENSIONS	121	224
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	28	35
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	69	73
GRIEVANCE REFERRAL PROGRAM	33	33
Total:	299	518

BAR YEARS  
2006-2007

Total Sanctions

DISBARMENTS	30
RESIGNATIONS	31
SUSPENSIONS	110
PUBLIC REPRIMANDS	62
PRIVATE REPRIMANDS	87
Total:	320



DISTRICT 1:

**Kai Kai, Andrew Jaiah: #24055757**

**5/21/2022-Public Reprimand**

On May 21, 2022, Andrew Jaiah Kai Kai [#24055757], 52, of Dallas, received a Judgment of Public Reprimand. An evidentiary panel of the District 1 Grievance Committee found that on October 27, 2017, Respondent was appointed to represent Complainant on several criminal matters. Thereafter, Respondent failed to communicate with or perform any legal services on behalf of the Complainant. Respondent left the country in November 2017 and has not returned. Respondent left a nonlawyer assistant in charge of his office with client files and court dockets. This enabled the nonlawyer assistant to appear in court and sign pass slips as Respondent.

Respondent Kai Kai violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 5.03(a), 5.03(b)(1), and 5.05(b). He was ordered to pay \$682.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**Kai Kai, Andrew Jaiah: #24055757**

**5/21/2022-Fully Probated Suspension**

**06/01/2022-05/31/2023: PROBATED**

On May 21, 2022, Andrew Jaiah Kai Kai [#24055757], 52, of Dallas, received a twelve (12) month fully probated suspension beginning on June 1, 2022 and ending on May 31, 2023. An evidentiary panel of the District 1 Grievance Committee found that on or about February 3, 2018, Respondent was hired by the Complainant's boyfriend to represent him in a criminal matter and paid a total of \$7,000.00 for the representation. Thereafter, Respondent neglected the legal matter entrusted to him by, including but not limited to, failing to provide legal services to the Complainant's boyfriend. Respondent also failed to keep the Complainant's boyfriend informed about the status of his case and respond to reasonable requests for information.

While out of the country, Respondent had a non-attorney working on the case. Subsequently, the Complainant's boyfriend hired new counsel because Respondent failed to return to the U.S. to finish the representation. Respondent failed to provide a refund per requests from the Complainant and her boyfriend. Respondent also failed to provide a response to the grievance.

Respondent Kai Kai violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.15(d), 5.05(b) and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$7,000.00 in restitution and \$730.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

DISTRICT 2:

**Price, Jeffery Richard: #24093528**

**6/14/2022-Resignation in lieu of Discipline**

On June 14, 2022, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation in lieu of discipline of Jeffery Richard Price [#24093528], 60, of Smith County.

In or around July 2020, Alma Noorda (Noorda) retained Jeffery Price (Respondent) to assist him in an ongoing business transaction. Noorda's company, Spring Creek Livestock & Feed (Spring Creek) had entered into a contract with a company called Goosen Construction (Goosen). Per the contract, Goosen agreed to wire funds to Respondent's Interest on Lawyer's Trust Account (IOLTA). Respondent was to

hold these funds in his IOLTA and release the funds incrementally to Spring Creek, as Spring Creek completed certain services for Goosen. Respondent wired the first two incremental payments to Spring Creek, as required under the contract.

Thereafter, beginning in or around August 2020, Respondent removed the funds from his IOLTA and spent the funds for personal use, without the knowledge or authorization from either Spring Creek or Goosen.

When a third incremental payment became due to Spring Creek, Noorda requested payment from Respondent. Respondent misled Noorda about the status of the funds in order to conceal his misappropriation of the funds.

Alleged Rules Violated: 1.14(a), 1.14(b), 8.04(a)(3)

DISTRICT 3:

**Walzel, Michael Ray: #20831100**

**5/9/2022-Disbarment**

On May 9, 2022, Michael Ray Walzel [#20831100], 62, of Nederland, was disbarred. An evidentiary panel of the District 3 Grievance Committee found that, in representing his client, Walzel neglected the legal matter entrusted to him, failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of her legal matter and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, failed to explain a legal matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit his client to make informed decisions regarding the representation, and failed to timely furnish to the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure.

Walzel violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.03(b), and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$1,235.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

DISTRICT 4:

**Allred, Blake Daniel: #24069292**

**6/14/2022-Default Disbarment**

On June 14, 2022, Blake Daniel Allred [Bar No. 24069292], 40, of Katy, was disbarred. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that Allred neglected a legal matter, frequently failed to carry out completely his obligations, and failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of the case. Allred further failed to promptly render a full accounting of paid fees and failed to refund advance payments of fee that had not been earned. Additionally, Allred failed to timely respond to the grievance.

Allred violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.01(b)(2), 1.03(a), 1.14(b), 1.15(d), and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$5,000.00 in restitution and \$1,806.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**Brown, Judy Lou: #03149480**

**7/29/2022-Agreed Public Reprimand**

On July 29, 2022, Judy Lou Brown [#03149480], 60, of Bellaire, received a public reprimand. An evidentiary panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that Brown neglected a legal matter entrusted to her and failed to keep her clients reasonably informed about the status of their legal matter

and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information about the case. Additionally, Brown failed upon request by her clients to render a full accounting. Lastly, upon termination of representation, Brown failed to surrender papers and property to which her clients were justly entitled and failed to refund any advance payments of fee that had not been earned.

Brown violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.14(b), and 1.15(d). She was ordered to pay \$5,000.00 in restitution and \$1,200.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

### **Houston Attorney**

#### **6/27/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

### **Fuerst, Michael T.: #00784087**

#### **6/13/2022-Agreed Partially Probated Suspension**

**06/13/2022-06/13/2023: SUSPENSION**

**06/14/2023-06/13/2024: PROBATED**

On July 13, 2022, Michael T. Fuerst [#00784087], 55, of Cypress, received a two-year partially probated suspension effective June 13, 2022, with the first year actively served and the remainder probated. An Evidentiary panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that Fuerst neglected a legal matter entrusted to him, and failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of the matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information. Fuerst also failed to refund to his client any advance payment of fees that had not been earned and failed to render a full accounting to his client upon request. Additionally, Fuerst failed upon termination of representation, to surrender papers to which his client was justly entitled and failed to timely respond to a grievance.

Fuerst violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.14(b), 1.15(d), and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$5,000.00 in restitution and \$1,687.60 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

### **Grimsinger, William O. Jr.: #00792151**

#### **7/8/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

**08/01/2022-07/31/2025: PROBATED**

On July 8, 2022, William O. Grimsinger, Jr. [#07792151], 58, of Houston, accepted a three-year probated suspension, effective August 1, 2022. An investigatory panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that, in representing two clients, Grimsinger neglected the legal matters entrusted to him, failed to keep his clients reasonable informed about the status of their legal matters and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, failed to hold funds belonging to his clients that were in Grimsinger's possession in connection with the representation separate from his own property, and failed to refund advance payments of see that had not been earned. Grimsinger also failed to timely furnish to the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure and did not in good faith assert a privilege or other legal ground for failure to so do and engaged in the practice of law when his right to practice had been administratively suspended for failure to timely pay required dues. In one of those matters, while representing his client, Grimsinger frequently failed to carry out completely the obligations he owed to his client and failed to explain a legal matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit his client to

make informed decisions regarding the representation.

Griminger violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.01(b)(2), 1.03(a), 1.03(b), 1.14(a), 1.15(d), 8.04(a)(8), and 8.04(a)(11). He was ordered to pay \$22,500.00 in restitution.

**Hoff, Jessica Lee: #24093963**

**5/25/2022-Disbarment**

On May 25, 2022, Jessica Lee Hoff [#240093963], 44, of Englewood, Colorado, received a judgment of disbarment effective May 23, 2022. The 234th District Court of Harris County found that Hoff failed to carry out completely the obligations owed to her client and knowingly disobeyed an obligation under the standing rules of or a ruling by a tribunal. Hoff also failed to refund to her client any advance payment of fees that had not been earned and failed upon termination of representation, to surrender papers to which her client was justly entitled. Lastly, Hoff failed to timely respond to a grievance.

Hoff violated Rules 1.01(b)(2), 1.15(d), 3.04(d), and 8.04(a)(8). She was ordered to pay \$10,000.00 in restitution and \$4,826.15 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**McElroy, Summer Aja: #24042610**

**8/16/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

**08/01/2022-07/31/2024: PROBATED**

On August 16, 2022, Summer Aja McElroy [#24042610], 45, of Houston, accepted a two-year probated suspension, effective August 1, 2022. An evidentiary panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that, in representing her clients, McElroy neglected the legal matters entrusted to her, failed to keep her clients reasonably informed about the status of their legal matters and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information, and failed to timely furnish to the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure and did not, in good faith, assert a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

McElroy violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), and 8.04(a)(8). She was ordered to pay \$500.00 in attorneys' fees.

DISTRICT 5:

**Allred, Blake Daniel: #24069292**

**6/14/2022-Default Disbarment**

On June 14, 2022, Blake Daniel Allred [Bar No. 24069292], 40, of Katy, was disbarred. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 4 Grievance Committee found that Allred neglected a legal matter, frequently failed to carry out completely his obligations, and failed to keep his client reasonably informed about the status of the case. Allred further failed to promptly render a full accounting of paid fees and failed to refund advance payments of fee that had not been earned. Additionally, Allred engaged in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation, and further failed to timely respond to the grievance.

Allred violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.01(b)(2), 1.03(a), 1.14(b), 1.15(d), 8.04(a)(3), and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$1,500.00 in restitution and \$2,159.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

## **Houston Attorney**

### **6/6/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

#### Rule 1.01(b)(1)

Neglect of a legal matter entrusted to Respondent.

#### Rule 1.03(a)

Failure to keep the client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable request for information.

#### Rule 1.15(d)

Failure to take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as giving reasonable notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled and refunding any advance payments of fees that has not been earned.

### DISTRICT 6:

#### **Adams, Carl David: #00850600**

### **8/19/2022-Agreed Public Reprimand**

On August 19, 2022, Carl David Adams, [# 00850600] 71, of Dallas, agreed to a public reprimand. An investigatory panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that Complainant hired and paid Adams \$15,000 for representation. Adams failed to hold funds belonging to Complainant that were in Adams' possession in connection with the representation separate from Adams' own property.

Adams violated Rule 1.14(a). He was ordered to pay attorneys' fees and direct expenses in the sum of \$500.00.

#### **Gauthier, Avia Rice: #24090119**

### **7/8/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

### **07/01/2022-12/31/2023: PROBATED**

On July 8, 2022, Avia Rice Gauthier [#24090119], 43, of Frisco, agreed to an eighteen (18) month fully probated suspension. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that Respondent neglected a legal matter entrusted to her and frequently failed to carry out completely the obligations she owed to her client.

Gauthier violated Rules 1.01(b)(1) and 1.01(b)(2). She was ordered to pay \$1,500 in attorneys' fees.

#### **Hem, Peov Linda: #24065394**

### **6/17/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

On June 17, 2022, Peov Linda Hem [#24065394], 41, of Dallas, received a six-month probated suspension effective July 1, 2022. The investigatory panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that on or about January 12, 2021, Complainant hired Hem to represent Complainant in a family law matter. In representing Complainant, Hem neglected the legal matter entrusted to her by, including but not limited to, failing to timely obtain an executed divorce decree. Hem failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of her family law matter and failed to promptly comply with

reasonable requests for information. Upon request by Complainant, Hem failed to promptly render a full accounting of legal fees paid. Hem failed to submit a response to the grievance.

Hem violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.14(b), and 8.04(a)(8). She was ordered to pay \$675.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**Price, William Earl: #24003330**

**7/22/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

**08/01/2022-07/31/2023: PROBATED**

On July 22, 2022, William Earl Price [#24003330], 58, of Dallas, received a twelve (12) month fully probated suspension, beginning August 1, 2022 and ending on July 31, 2023. An Investigatory Panel of the District 6 Grievance Committee found that or about October 10, 2022, the Complainant hired Respondent for representation in multiple criminal matters. Respondent failed to hold funds belonging to the Complainant that were in Respondent's possession in connection with the representation separate from Respondent's own property. Respondent failed to keep the disputed portion of the retainer fee in his IOLTA account until the dispute was resolved.

Price violated Rules 1.14(a) and 1.14(c). He was ordered to pay \$250.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**Wiltz, Jervan Steven: #24084110**

**8/8/2022-Agreed Active Suspension**

**09/01/2022-02/29/2024: SUSPENSION**

On August 8, 2022, Jervan Steven Wiltz [#24084110], 40, of Frisco, received an eighteen-month active suspension, effective September 1, 2022. The District 6 Grievance Committee found that in or around September 2019, Complainant hired Wiltz to represent him in a personal injury matter. In representing Complainant, Wiltz neglected the legal matter entrusted to him by failing to file suit prior to the statute of limitations deadline. Wiltz frequently failed to carry out completely the obligations Wiltz owed to Complainant. Wiltz failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of his personal injury matter and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information from Complainant about his personal injury matter. Upon termination of representation, Wiltz failed to take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect Complainant's interests.

Wiltz violated Rules: 1.01(b)(1), 1.01(b)(2), 1.03(a), and 1.15 (d). He was ordered to pay \$250.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**DISTRICT 7:**

**Dallas Attorney**

**8/26/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

**Rule 8.04(a)(8)**

A lawyer shall not: fail to timely furnish to the Chief Disciplinary Counsels office or a district grievance committee a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, unless he or she in good faith timely asserts a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

**Graham, James Glenn: #24065316**

**7/6/2022-Agreed Public Reprimand**

On July 6, 2022, James Glenn Graham [# 24065316 ], 59, of Fort Worth, received a Judgment of Public Reprimand. An investigatory panel of the District 7 Grievance Committee found that in representing Isaiah James, Graham neglected the legal matter entrusted to him, failed to keep James reasonably informed about the status of his legal matter, failed to promptly comply with James' reasonable requests for information, and failed to respond to James' grievance.

Graham violated Rules 1.03(a) and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$300.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**Wynn, William Reagan: #00797708**

**4/29/2022-Default Fully Probated Suspension**

**05/01/2022-04/30/2024: PROBATED**

On April 29, 2022, **William Reagan Wynn** [#00797708], 51, of Fort Worth, received a two-year fully probated suspension. Respondent will serve a fully probated suspension beginning May 1, 2022, to April 30, 2024. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 7 Grievance Committee found that on November 4, 2016, Respondent was hired to represent Complainant on an appeal regarding Complainant's conviction of a Class C misdemeanor. In representing the Complainant, Respondent neglected the legal matter entrusted to him and failed to explain the criminal matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the Complainant to make informed decisions about the representation. Respondent also failed to respond to the Grievance.

Wynn violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(b), and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$1,525.00 in attorneys' fees and \$475.00 in direct expenses.

**Wynn, William Reagan: #00797708**

**4/13/2022-Default Partially Probated Suspension**

**05/01/2022-10/31/2022: SUSPENSION**

**11/01/2022-04/30/2024: PROBATED**

On May 4, 2022, William Reagan Wynn [#00797708], 51, of Fort Worth, received a twenty-four (24) month partially probated suspension. Respondent will be actively suspended from May 1, 2022 to October 31, 2022. Respondent will have a probated suspension from November 1, 2022 to April 30, 2024. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 7 Grievance Committee found that in April 2019, Respondent was hired to represent Complainant's son in a criminal matter. During the representation, Respondent failed to explain the criminal matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit Complainant's son to make informed decisions about the representation. Respondent later also failed to respond to the Grievance.

Wynn violated Rules 1.03(b) and 8.04(a)(8). He was ordered to pay \$1,390.00 in attorneys' fees and \$510.00 in direct expenses.

DISTRICT 9:

**Austin Attorney**

**6/6/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not: neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

DISTRICT 10:

**Hernandez, Marie Gerard Gaetane: #24061079**

**5/3/2022-Default Partially Probated Suspension**

**04/28/2022-04/27/2023: SUSPENSION**

**04/28/2023-04/28/2025: PROBATED**

On May 3, 2022, Marie Gerard Hernandez [#24061079], 38, of San Antonio, received a three-year partially probated suspension effective April 28, 2022 with the first year actively served and the remainder probated. The District 10 Grievance Committee found Marie Gerard Hernandez neglected client matters, failed to keep clients reasonably informed, failed to explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit a client to make informed decisions, failed to return unearned portion of a fee and failed to respond to the grievance.

Marie Gerard Hernandez violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a)&(b), 1.15(d) and 8.04(a)(8) was ordered to pay \$4,333.00 in restitution and \$3,817.50 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**Pettit, Christopher J.: #15861150**

**6/6/2022-Resignation in lieu of Discipline**

On June 6, 2022, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation in lieu of discipline of Christopher J. Pettit [#15861150], 55, of San Antonio. At the time of the resignation, there were five disciplinary actions pending alleging Pettit failed to hold client's funds in trust and separate from his own property, failed to promptly notify and deliver funds to parties entitled to receive funds, committed a criminal act and engaged in conduct involving fraud, deceit or misrepresentation.

It is alleged Pettit violated Rule(s) 1.14(a)&(b), 8.04(a)(2) and 8.04(a)(3).

**Tysinger, Alan Dean: #24010459**

**6/16/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

**07/01/2022-06/30/2024: PROBATED**

On June 16, 2022, Alan Dean Tysinger [#24010459], 48, of Adkins, agreed to a two-year fully probated suspension effective July 1, 2022. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 10 Grievance Committee found Tysinger neglected a client matter, failed to keep a client reasonably informed, failed to promptly notify and deliver funds to parties entitled to receive funds and engaged in conduct involving misrepresentation.



Tysinger violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.14(a) and 8.04(a)(3), was ordered to pay \$2,500.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

DISTRICT 11:

**Carrigan, Stephen Paul: #03877000**

**7/1/2022-Agreed Fully Probated Suspension**

**09/01/2022-08/30/2024: PROBATED**

On July 1, 2022, Stephen Paul Carrigan [#03877000], 67, of Corpus Christi, accepted a two-year fully probated suspension effective September 1, 2022. The 28th District Court of Nueces County found Carrigan committed professional misconduct by violating Rules 1.14(a) [failing to hold funds and other property belonging in whole or part to clients or third persons in a lawyer's possession separate from the lawyer's own property], 1.14(b) [failing, upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, to promptly notify the client or third person and render a full accounting upon request].

Carrigan violated Rules 1.14(a)&(b), and was ordered to pay \$15,000.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**San Antonio Attorney**

**7/1/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

Rule 1.15(d)

Upon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as refunding any advance payments of fee that has not been earned.

DISTRICT 12:

**Chu, Nathan Henry: #24038818**

**7/7/2022-Agreed Public Reprimand**

On July 7, 2022, Nathan Henry Chu [#24038818], 46, of Laredo, accepted a public reprimand. An Investigatory Panel of the District 12 Grievance Committee found Chu failed to refund unearned fees.

Chu violated Rules 1.15(d), and agreed to pay \$4,122.75 in restitution, and \$800.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

**San Antonio Attorney**

**6/29/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.14(b)

For failing, upon receiving funds or other property in which a client or third person has an interest, to promptly notify the client or third person and render a full accounting upon request.

DISTRICT 14:

**Dallas Attorney**

**7/6/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.01(b)(2)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not frequently fail to carry out completely the obligations that the lawyer owes to a client or clients.

Rule 1.03(b)

A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

Rule 3.02

In the course of litigation, a lawyer shall not take a position that unreasonably increases the costs or other burdens of the case or that unreasonably delays resolution of the matter.

**Jacoby, Jason: #10528750**

**6/6/2022-Agreed Public Reprimand**

On June 6th, 2022, Jason Jacoby, [# 10528750] 66, of Denton, agreed to a public reprimand. An evidentiary panel of the District 14 Grievance Committee found that on or about March 12, 2020, Complainant retained Jacoby for representation in a traffic citation matter. In representing Complainant, Jacoby neglected the legal matter entrusted to him, and frequently failed to carry out completely the obligations Jacoby owed to Complainant. Jacoby failed to keep Complainant reasonably informed about the status of his case and failed to promptly comply with reasonable requests for information from Complainant. Jacoby failed to withdraw from representing Complainant when Jacoby was discharged.

Jacoby violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.01(b)(2), 1.03(a), and 1.15(a)(3). He was ordered to pay attorneys' fees and direct expenses in the sum of \$575.00.

DISTRICT 15:

**Chavez, Adrian Antonio: #00790454**

**6/29/2022-Agreed Public Reprimand**

On June 29, 2022, Adrian Antonio Chavez [# 00790454 ], 53, of Odessa accepted a public reprimand. An Investigatory Panel of the District 15 Grievance Committee found Chavez failed to return the client file.

Chavez violated Rule 1.15(d) and was ordered to pay \$800.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

DISTRICT 16:

**Fair, Jean Marie Blair: #24088917**

**6/22/2022-Default Disbarment**

On June 22, 2022, Jean Marie Blair Fair [#24088917], 36, of Snyder, was disbarred. An Evidentiary Panel of the District 16 Grievance Committee found that in connection with two complaints, Fair neglected client matters, failed to keep clients reasonably informed, failed to return unearned fees and failed to respond to grievances.

Fair violated Rules 1.01(b)(1), 1.03(a), 1.15(d) and 8.04(a)(8) was ordered to pay \$1,670.00 in restitution and \$1,896.00 in attorneys' fees and direct expenses.

DISTRICT 17:

**San Antonio Attorney**

**7/5/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.03(b)

For failing to keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

Rule 5.01(a)

For failing to supervise a partner lawyer, and orders, encourages, or knowingly permits the conduct involved.

**San Antonio Attorney**

**7/5/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.04(f)

A division or agreement for division of a fee between lawyers who are not in the same firm shall not be made unless: (1) the division is: (iii) made, by written agreement with the client, with a lawyer who assumes joint responsibility for the representation.

Rule 1.15(d)

for failing, upon termination of representation, to reasonably protect a client's interests, give notice to the client to seek other counsel, surrender papers and property which belong to the client, or refund any advance payments of fees that have not been earned.

DISTRICT All Regions:

**Dallas Attorney**

**6/14/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.03(a)

A lawyer shall keep a client reasonably informed about the status of a matter and promptly comply with reasonable requests for information.

**Dallas Attorney**

**6/17/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.05(1)(ii)

A lawyer shall not knowingly reveal confidential information of a client or a former client to anyone else, other than the client, the client's representatives, or the members, associates, or employees of the lawyer's law firm.

**Dallas Attorney**

**7/19/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.03(b)

A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

Rule 1.15(d)

Upon termination of representation, a lawyer shall take steps to the extent reasonably practicable to protect a client's interests, such as giving reasonable notice to the client, allowing time for employment of other counsel, surrendering papers and property to which the client is entitled and refunding any advance payments of fee that has not been earned. The lawyer may retain papers relating to the client to the extent permitted by other law only if such retention will not prejudice the client in the subject matter of the representation.

Rule 8.04(a)(8)

A lawyer shall not fail to timely furnish to the Chief Disciplinary Councils office or a district grievance committee a response or other information as required by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure, unless he or she in good faith timely asserts a privilege or other legal ground for failure to do so.

**Dallas Attorney**

**6/1/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.06(a)

A lawyer shall not represent opposing parties to the same litigation.

Rule 1.09(a)(2)

Without prior consent, a lawyer who personally has formerly represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter represent another person in a matter adverse to the former client, if the representation in reasonable probability will involve a violation of Rule 1.05.

Rule 1.09(a)(3)

Without prior consent, a lawyer who personally has formerly represented a client in a matter shall not thereafter represent another person in a matter adverse to the former client, if it is the same or a substantially related matter.

Rule 1.15(a)(1)

A lawyer shall decline to represent a client or, where representation has commenced, shall withdraw, except as stated in paragraph (c), from the representation of a client, if the representation will result in violation of Rule 3.08, other applicable rules of professional conduct or other law.

**Dallas Attorney**

**7/26/2022-Agreed Private Reprimand**

Rule 1.01(b)(1)

In representing a client, a lawyer shall not neglect a legal matter entrusted to the lawyer.

Rule 1.03(b)

A lawyer shall explain a matter to the extent reasonably necessary to permit the client to make informed decisions regarding the representation.

BODA:

**Hart, William S.: #09151500**

**6/14/2022-Resignation in lieu of Discipline**

On June 14, 2022, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of William S. Hart [09151500], 73, of Kerrville. At the time of Hart's resignation, a Judgment in a Criminal Case had previously been entered, on or about July 25, 2018, in Cause No. 3:17-cr-00040-MGL, styled United States of America v. William Hart, in the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, Columbia Division, wherein Hart pleaded guilty to Count 1 of the Information in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371 (Conspiracy to commit Money Laundering). Hart was sentenced to probation for a term of five (5) years.

Hart was further ordered to pay penalties of an assessment in the amount of \$100.00 and restitution in the amount of \$193,500.00. This conviction would have subjected Hart to compulsory discipline.

**Hickman, Darrell Keith: #09572980**

**5/5/2022-Partially Probated Suspension**

**05/05/2022-08/04/2022: SUSPENSION**

**08/05/2022-05/04/2024: PROBATED**

On May 5, 2022, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals entered a Default Judgment of Partially Probated Suspension against Alexandria, Louisiana attorney Darrell Keith Hickman, 61, State Bar of Texas Card No. 09572980. Although duly cited, Hickman did not answer or appear at the hearing. On June 3, 2020, the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana issued a judgment in a case styled in Re: Darrell K. Hickman Attorney Disciplinary Proceeding, Case No. 2020-B-0292, in which Hickman was suspended from the practice of law for a period of one year and one day, with all but three months deferred, followed by one year of probation. The court found Hickman violated Louisiana Rules of Professional Conduct 1.3 (diligent and prompt representation); 1.4 (communication); 8.4(a) (violation of disciplinary rules); and 8.4(c) (dishonesty, fraud, deceit or misrepresentation). Hickman is suspended from the practice of law in Texas for three months beginning May 5, 2022, and extending through August 4, 2022, followed by a probated 21-month suspension. BODA case no. 65860.

**Jarvis, Eric Samuel: #24012902**

**6/14/2022-Resignation in lieu of Discipline**

On June 14, 2022, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of Eric Samuel Jarvis [24012902], 49, of McAllen. At the time of Jarvis's resignation, a Judgment in a Criminal Case was entered in Cause No. 7:21CR01430-001, styled United States of America v. Eric Samuel Jarvis, in the United States District Court, Southern District of Texas, McAllen Division, wherein Jarvis pleaded guilty to count 1 on July 26, 2021, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1952(a)(3) - (Use of a cellular phone with the intent to commit an unlawful activity, that is, drug trafficking and money laundering). Jarvis was ordered to be committed to the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 30 months. Upon release from imprisonment, Jarvis will be on supervised release for a term of 3 years. Jarvis was further ordered to pay an assessment in the amount of \$100.00. This conviction would have subjected Jarvis to compulsory discipline.

**Mousseau, Geoffrey C.: #14606300**

**5/6/2022-Default Disbarment**

On May 6, 2022, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals entered a Judgment of Disbarment against Los Angeles, California attorney Geoffrey C. Mousseau, 61, State Bar of Texas Card No. 14606300. Although duly cited, Mousseau did not answer or appear at the hearing. On December 13, 2006, Mousseau was found guilty of Conspiracy to Commit Bankruptcy Fraud, Fraudulent Concealment of Property in Bankruptcy, False Statement in Bankruptcy, False Oath in Bankruptcy, and Withholding Records in Bankruptcy in Cause No. 2:04-CR-01697-GAF, styled United States of America v. Geoffrey C. Mousseau, in the United States District Court Central District of California. These offenses constitute Intentional Crimes as defined by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. Mousseau was sentenced to prison for a total of 21 months, followed by three years of supervised release. BODA case no. 65863.

**Quinata, Derek Alfonso: #24072292**

**5/6/2022-Active Suspension**

**06/01/2022-05/31/2025: SUSPENSION**

On May 6, 2022, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals issued a Judgment Revoking Probation and Actively Suspending Respondent from the Practice of Law for El Paso attorney Derek Alfonso Quinata, 41, State Bar of Texas Card # 24072292 following an Agreed Judgment of Probated Suspension by an Investigatory Panel for the State Bar of Texas District 17 Grievance Committee in cause nos. 201806042, 201805120, 201806268, and 201902189. BODA cause number 66219.

**Sherman, Jeffrey M.: #18243550**

**8/26/2022-Resignation in lieu of Discipline**

On August 26, 2022, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of Jeffrey M. Sherman [18243550], 64, of Bethesda, Maryland. Prior to his resignation, the Office of the Chief Disciplinary Counsel received notice from the Office of Disciplinary Counsel of the District of Columbia that the District of Columbia Court of Appeals issued an Order, on November 25, 2020, in Case No. 20-BG-624, styled In re Jeffrey M. Sherman, Respondent. Bar Registration No. 348896, Board Docket No. 19-BD-008 DDN: 336-17, stating that "[o]n consideration of the affidavit of Jeffrey M. Sherman, wherein he consents to disbarment from the bar of the District of Columbia pursuant to D.C. Bar Rule XI, § 12, which affidavit has been filed with the Clerk of this court, and the report and recommendation of the Board on Professional Responsibility, it is Ordered that the said Jeffrey M. Sherman is hereby disbarred by consent." The disbarment order would have subjected Sherman to reciprocal discipline.

**Smith, Robert Ray: #18678070**

**5/13/2022-Active Suspension**

**05/13/2022-11/11/2022: SUSPENSION**

On May 13, 2022, the Board of Disciplinary Appeals entered a Judgment of Suspension against Georgetown, attorney Robert Ray Smith, 63, State Bar of Texas Card No. 9 18678070. On November 14, 2019, an Order of Deferred Adjudication was filed in Cause Number D-1-DC-18-904235, styled The State of Texas v. Robert Smith, in the 403rd Judicial District Court of Travis County, Texas, wherein Smith was placed on deferred adjudication community supervision for three years for the offense of third-degree felony forgery. This offense constitutes an Intentional Crime as defined by the Texas Rules of Disciplinary Procedure. Smith is suspended from the practice of law from May 13, 2022, extending through November 11, 2022. In an agreed judgment in a separate disciplinary proceeding, Smith was suspended from the practice of law for a period of five years, beginning October 11, 2019, and ending October 10, 2024; that suspension remains in effect and Smith remains subject to all terms and conditions of that agreed judgment. BODA case no. 66183.

**White, John Bennett: #21309800**

**8/26/2022-Resignation in lieu of Discipline**

On August 26, 2022, the Supreme Court of Texas accepted the resignation, in lieu of discipline, of John Bennett White [21309800], 62, of Tyler. At the time of White's resignation, a Judgment in a Criminal Case was entered on September 15, 2021, in Cause No. 6:21-cr-00002-JCB-KNM, styled United States of America v. John Bennett White, IV, in the United States District Court Eastern District of Texas, Tyler Division, wherein White pleaded guilty to Count 1 of the Information, 26 U.S.C. § 7202 - Willful Failure to Collect or Pay Over Tax. White was committed to the custody of the Federal Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of eighteen (18) months. Upon release from imprisonment, White will be on supervised release for a term of two (2) years.

White was further ordered to make restitution totaling \$29,402.08 to the victim listed in the "Restitution" section of the presentence report; pay the costs of prosecution; surrender his law license; complete 200 hours of community service; and pay an assessment in the amount of \$100. This conviction would have subjected White to compulsory discipline.